



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06.12.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL.O.P.No.23380 of 2021

Kanniyappan

... Petitioner

Versus

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Rathnagiri Police Station,
Ranipet District.
(Crime No.235 of 2021)

... Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with in Crime No.235 of 2021 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.P.Sivakumar

For Respondent : Mr.N.S.Suganthan
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

O R D E R

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Section 9(B)(1)(b) of The Indian Explosives Act 1884 in Crime No.235 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 25.10.2021 the defacto complainant lodged a complaint. The petitioner and other accused were attempt to blast the quarry stones in the poramboku land. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner and other accused were attempt to blast the quarry stones in the poramboku land. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Arcot on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Sunday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

06/12/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.



TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ARCOT, VELLORE DISTRICT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VELLORE DISTRICT. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RATHNAGIRI POLICE STATION,
RANIPET DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S.G.P.SIVAKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.14136

CRL OP.23380/2021

Date :06/12/2021

RW 08/12/2021