



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.20463 of 2017

Crl.O.P.No.20463 of 2017
a n d
Crl.M.P.Nos.12250 and 12251 of 2017

N. SATHISH KUMAR,J

Today, the matter has come up under the caption “For Being Mentioned”, at the instance of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that in the result portion, instead of quashing the C.C., it has been typed as closed.

3. After second paragraph, order, dated 11/11/2021, reads as follows:-

3. A perusal of the materials would indicate that parties have arrived at a settlement and Memorandum of Settlement has also been filed along with typed set of papers.

4. Considering the fact that the husband/first petitioner and wife/second respondent have settled their dispute, continuing the prosecution against the



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.20463 of 2017

petitioners is an abuse of process of law.

N.SATHISH KUMAR,J

mvs.

5. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and C.C.No.69 of 2017, pending on the file of the Metropolitan Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Egmore, Chennai, is quashed. Memorandum of Settlement shall form part of the records.”

Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

4. Registry is directed to issue fresh copy to the petitioners.

18/8/2022

mvs.

CrI.O.P.No.20463 of 2017



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.20463 of 2017