



C.M.P. No.19889 of 2021
in S.A. No.1360 of 2010

R.PONGIAPPAN, J.

WEB COPY

Heard both sides.

2. This petition is ordered. The following additional substantial questions of law are framed for consideration:

1. Whether the Courts below have erred in not considering the defence raised by the appellants that the plaintiff has not complied with the provisions of Madras City Tenant's Protection Act, 1921 ?

2. Whether the suit filed by the respondent is in conformity with Section 11 of Madras City Tenant's Protection Act, 1921 ?

13.12.2021

sri



WEB COPY

C.M.P. No.19889 of 2021
in S.A. No.1360 of 2010



R.PONGIAPPAN, J.

sri

C.M.P. No.19889 of 2021
in S.A. No.1360 of 2010

13.12.2021
[1/2]