



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Ninth day of November Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs Justice T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION NOS.22630 AND 22691 of 2021

M.RAMJI

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED
IN CRL.OP.NO.22630/2021]

M.ALAGESAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED
IN CRL.OP.NO.22691/2021]

Vs

THE STATE REPRESENTED BY [RESPONDENT
THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, IN BOTH PETITIONS]
VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION,
ERODE DETACHMENT,
ERODE DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.10/AC/2021)

For Petitioner : M/S.K.BRATHEESH Advocate
[IN CRL.OP.NO.22630/2021]

: M/S.N.MANOCHARAN Advocate
[IN CRL.OP.NO.22691/2021]

For Respondent : MR.N.S.SUGANTHAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)
[IN CRL.OP.NOS.22630&22691/2021]

PETITION FOR BAIL 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

(The case has been heard through video conference)

In Crl.O.P.No.22630 of 2021, the petitioner who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 13.11.2021 for the offence under Section 7(a) of Prevention of Corruption Act 1988 as Amended by the Prevention of Corruption Act (Amendment) 2018 in Crime No.10/AC/2021, on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

In Crl.O.P.No.22691 of 2021, the petitioner who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.11.2021 for the offence under Section 7(a) of Prevention of Corruption (Amended) Act, 2018 in Crime No.10/AC/2021, on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner in CrI.O.P.No.22691 of 2021 is a Zonal Deputy Thasildar and he is arrayed as A1 and the petitioner in CrI.O.P.No.22630 of 2021 is a Revenue Inspector and Village Administrative Officer and he is arrayed as A2. On the demand and directions of A1, A2 accepted a sum of Rs.20,000/- as bribe from the de facto complainant for the issuance of Genuinty Report for the de facto complainant's father's land situated at Kudakarai Village, Nambiyur Taluk, Erode and handed over the money to A3 who is a private person. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsels for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. The learned Counsels would further submit that the petitioners have been suffering incarceration for more than 20 days and hence, prayed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (CrI. Side) raised strong objection stating that the investigation is at the initial stage.

5. Considering the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioners and the fact that the investigation is at the initial stage, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petitions are dismissed.

-sd/-
29/11/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION,
ERODE DETACHMENT,
ERODE DISTRICT.

2 THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
SUB JAIL, ERODE.

3 THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
DISTRICT PRISON, GOBICHETTIPALAYAM.



4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S.N.MANOCHARAN
charges SR.NO.13662

Advocate on payment of necessary

CRL OP.NOs.22630&22691/2021

Date :29/11/2021

CSK 10/12/2021