



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29.11.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRL.O.P.No.22586 of 2021

Nagarajan

... Petitioner

Versus

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Taluk Police Station,
Arakkonam, Ranipet District.
(Crime No.394 of 2021)

... Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in connection with in Crime No.394 of 2021 pending investigated on the file of the respondent.


For Petitioner : Mr.P.Krishnan

For Respondent : Mr.N.S.Suganthan
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.394 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant has preferred a complaint on 20.11.2021, he and the Chairman, Nemili Union has inspected the street to clean the channel. After the Chairman leave from the Village, this petitioner and 3 others questioned him that why you have made allegations against this petitioner and others and this petitioner beaten the defacto complainant with his hands, A2 to A4 beaten the defacto complainant's father, his brother and thereafter this petitioner and A4 thrown the stones and fallen on his brother's daughter forehead and sustained injury, this petitioner and others abused and threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the complaint.



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that there was a wordy quarrel and dispute with regard to clean the Channel, civil in nature, victim now discharged, investigation almost completed. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Arakkonam**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police on every Wednesday at 10.30 a.m until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-
29/11/2021

WEB COPY

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ARAKKONAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VELLORE. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ARAKKONAM TALUK POLICE STATION,
ARAKKONAM,
RANIPET DISTRICT.

CC to M/S P.KRISHNAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.22586/2021

Date :29/11/2021

TA-30/11/2021