



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.12.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.23953 of 2021

K.Natarajan

...Petitioner

Versus

The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Orathur Police Station,
Orathur.
(Crime No.125 of 2021)

...Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police in Crime No.125 of 2021 pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Sreedhar


For Respondent : Mr.N.S.Suganthan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

O R D E R

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 379, 430 of IPC, in Crime No.125 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had illegally transported 2 bags of river sand by using vehicle, without any valid permission of government authorities. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He also further submitted that the petitioner had already filed an anticipatory bail application before this Court in Crl.O.P.No.9808 of 2021 and the same was allowed on 03.06.2021. He further submitted that the petitioner could not able to comply with the conditions imposed by this Court since he was tested positive to corona. However, on instructions, the



learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner, on his own volition, is ready and willing to contribute a sum of Rs.3,000/- to the credit of the Registered Advocate Clerk Association, Cuddalore. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner had illegally transported 2 bags of river sand by using vehicle. He further submitted that the investigation is almost completed. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Chidambaram, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.3,000/- (Rupees Three Thousand only) to the credit of the Registered Advocate Clerk Association, Cuddalore, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and shall produce the said receipt before the Court below.

[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[c] the petitioner is directed to appear before respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. .

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been



imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

10/12/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.II, CHIDAMBARAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CUDDALORE DISTRICT. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ORATHUR POLICE STATION,
ORATHUR,

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

5 THE REGISTERED ADVOCATE
CLERK ASSOCIATION, CUDDALORE.

+1 CC to M/S.R.SREEDHAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.NO.14520

CRL OP.23953/2021

Date :10/12/2021

RW 16/12/2021