



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.11.2021

WEB COPY

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.22755 of 2021

G.Ravi

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
C-5, Oragadam Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
(Crime No.603 of 2021)

...Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.603 of 2021 on the file of the The Inspector of Police, C-5, Oragadam Police Station, Kancheepuram District.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.D.Sugumar

For Respondent : Mr.N.S.Suganthan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Section 379 of IPC r/w 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation Act) 1957 in Crime No.603 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is on 02.10.2021, when the authorities under Mines and Minerals Act were on the regular checking of vehicles, they found that the petitioner had illegally transported 6 units of rough stone, 2 units of M-Sand and 6 units of Jalli Stones by using 12 lorries and they seized the said vehicles due to over writing in the trip sheets. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. However, on instructions, the learned counsel further submits that the petitioner, on his own volition, is ready and willing to contribute a sum of Rs.10,000/- to any Charitable Institute as may be directed by this Court and he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner by stating that the petitioner had illegally transported 6 units of rough stone, 2 units of M-Sand and 6 units of Jalli Stones by using 12 lorries and they seized the said vehicles due to over writing in the trip sheets.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submissions made by the both counsel and also the fact that the petitioner has willfully and on his own volition agreed to contribute a sum of Rs.10,000/- for charitable purpose, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned *Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumpudur* on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) to the Registered Advocate Clerk Association, Villupuram District, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and shall produce the said receipt before the Court below;

[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner is directed to report before the respondent police on every Tuesday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala* [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];



[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC;

WEB COPY

-sd/-
30/11/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SRIPERAMBADUR.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CHENGALPATTU [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
C-5, ORAGADAM POLICE STATION,
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.

4 THE REGISTERED
ADVOCATE CLERK ASSOCIATION,
VILLUPURAM DISTRICT.

5 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S.C.D.SUGUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.13692

CRL OP.22755/2021

Date :30/11/2021

CSK 02/11/2021