




to the 2nd and 3rd respondents therein to pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of two months and he also submitted that among the previous cases pending the petitioner, two cases ended in acquittal. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate would submit that this is the second anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner and this Court has already dismissed the earlier anticipatory bail application of the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is an history sheeter and there are 9 previous cases pending against the petitioner. He further submitted that in the present case, the property is not recovered from the petitioner. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and since the earlier anticipatory bail petition was dismissed by this Court and the petitioner himself admitted that there nine previous cases pending against him and he is an habitual offender, and since there is no change of circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

01/12/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
S1, ST. THOMAS MOUNT POLICE STATION,
ST. THOMAS MOUNT, CHENNAI.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S. S.XAVIER FELIX Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.13865

CRL OP.22876/2021

Date :01/12/2021

JPA 08/12/2021