



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.11.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.21961 of 2021

1. Rajendran
2. Perumal

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State by
The Inspector of Police,
Grubarapalli Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Crime No.5 of 2021)

...Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.5 of 2021 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Jayachandran

For Respondent : Mr.N.S.Suganthan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Section 379 of IPC in Crime No.5 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners had illegally transported 2 units of Norambu sand in a lorry by using JCB without any valid licence. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. However, on instructions, the learned counsel further submits that the petitioners, on their own volition, are ready and willing to contribute a sum of Rs.15,000/- to the credit of the Madras High Court Advocate Clerks Welfare Association, Chennai that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he



prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners by stating that the petitioners had transported 2 units of Norambu sand illegally in a lorry by using JCB without any valid licence. He further submits that there are one previous cases pending as against the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submissions made by the both counsel and also the fact that the petitioners have willfully and on their own volition agreed to contribute a sum of Rs.15,000/- for charitable purpose, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Principal District Sessions Judge, Krishnagiri on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute separate bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners are directed to deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen thousand only) to the Madras High Court Advocate Clerks Welfare Association, Chennai, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and shall produce the said receipt before the Court below.

[b] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[c] the petitioners are directed to report before the respondent police on every Friday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been



imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

22/11/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONJUDGE, KRISHNAGIRI

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
GRUBARAPALLI POLICE STATION,
KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT.

4 THE MADRAS HIGH COURT
ADVOCATE CLERK WELFARE ASSOCIATION,
CHENNAI

+1 CC to M.JAYACHANDRAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.No.13371

CRL OP.21961/2021

Date :22/11/2021

APN 29/11/2021