



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Second day of November Two Thousand Twenty One

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.20810 of 2021

1 SRINIVASAN
2 SUDHAKAR
3 HARIRAJAN
4 UMAPATHY
5 SANKAR
6 UDHAYAKUMAR

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RATHINAGIRI POLICE STATION,
VELLORE DISTRICT.
(RANIPET DISTRICT).
CRIME.NO.235 of 2021.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.E.KANNADASAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR. C.E.PRATAP, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 9(B)(1)(b) of Indian Explosive Act 1884 in Crime No.235 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners were attempted to blast the quarry stones in the poramboku land.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. However, on instructions, he further submits that the petitioners, without prejudice to their rights, and on their own volition are ready to deposit a sum of Rs.30,000/- to the Mineral Foundation Trust that may be imposed by this Court.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the petitioners were attempted to blast the quarry stones in the poramboku land. He further submitted that if the persons are caught with illegal sand in the mining area, necessarily they could be released on bail by imposing condition of deposit of any amount as may be ordered by this Court. In view of formation of District Mineral Foundation Trust in each District, the amount may directed to be deposited to the credit of the said Trust for rehabilitation in the areas affected by illegal sand mining.

5.In view of the rehabilitation undertaken by the State Government under the Tamil Nadu District Mineral Foundation Rules, 2017, and in order to curb the illegal sand mining activities and also considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioners may be directed to make a non refundable deposit of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand only) to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust, without prejudice to his rights and contentions. The amount so deposited shall be utilised by the Trust for rehabilitation works.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submission made by the learned Government Advocate, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on certain conditions.

7. It is made clear that the deposit of the amount by the petitioners to the Trust would not amount to admission of guilt. The trial Court shall deal with the case independently on merits without reference to the amount deposited at the stage of anticipatory bail.

8.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Magistrate Cum Judicial Magistrate, Arcot on condition that the petitioners shall execute separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)if the petitioners failed to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b)the petitioners shall make a non refundable deposit of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand only) through demand draft to the credit of the Chairman/District Collector, District Mineral Foundation Trust of the concerned District, without prejudice to their defence



before the trial Court and the learned Judicial Magistrate, after perusing the challan/ receipt, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioners;

(c) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(d) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

(e) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(g) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(h) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

02/11/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ARCOT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VELLORE DISTRICT (FOR INFORMATION).

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RATHINAGIRI POLICE STATION,
VELLORE DISTRICT.
(RANIPET DISTRICT).



4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

5 THE CHAIRMAN / DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
DISTRICT MINERAL FOUNDATION TRUST,
VELLORE DISTRICT. (RANIPET DISTRICT).

+1 CC to M/S.E.KANNADASAN Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.12410

CRL OP.20810/2021

Date :02/11/2021

INBA-15/11/2021