



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Tuesday, the Ninth day of November Two Thousand Twenty One

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs Justice T.V. THAMILSELVI  
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.21021 of 2021

PARASURAMAN

[ PETITIONER / ACCUSED ]

Vs

STATE REP BY  
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
VELLAVEDU POLICE STATION,  
THIRUVALLUR.  
(CRIME NO.566/2021)

[ RESPONDENT ]

For Petitioner : M/S S.SANTOSH Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.GOKULAKRISHNAN Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 379, 430 of IPC R/w. 36(A) MMDR in Crime No.566 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is involved in illegal transportation of three units of river sand in lorry. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the petitioner had illegally transported three units of river sand in lorry without any valid permit. He further submits that the investigation is not yet completed.

5. This Court on the earlier occasion in CrI.O.P.No.15249 of 2020 by order dated 28.09.2020, following the orders passed by this Court in a batch of applications in CrI.O.P.No.13334 of 2020 dated



03.09.2020 had dismissed the earlier application expressing the opinion that the offenders despite several orders passed by various Benches of this Court regarding illegal sand mining and knowing fully well about the evil consequences affecting the environment and society at large and the implications thereon are indulging in the offences of illegal quarrying/mining, theft and smuggling of sand and minerals and that finding that the case comes under the category of cases of large magnitude affecting and impacting livelihood of a very large number of people knowingly and unknowingly, had dismissed the earlier application. Subsequently, the order of this Court in CrI.O.P.No.13334 of 2020 and Batch etc. dated 03.09.2020 was clarified by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the Hon'ble Supreme Court had not agreed with the broad sweep of the observation made by this Court in Paragraph 27 of the order in CrI.O.P.No.13334 of 2020 and connected matters decided on 03.09.2020 and had directed that the Court should take into consideration the role assigned to the person on case to case basis.

6. A perusal of the materials reveal that the petitioner was apprehended with three units of river sand, which was being transported with the vehicle without any permit. That being the case, the illegal lifting and transportation of sand has been deprecated by this court in various decisions, keeping in mind the need for maintaining the ecological balance and the conservation of water table in the locality, as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, giving any leniency to the petitioner by granting anticipatory bail would only lead to the petitioner perpetrating the offence once again and, therefore, in the fitness of things, this court is not inclined to accede to the prayer as sought for by the petitioner. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail sought for cannot be granted and this petition is dismissed.

-sd/-  
09/11/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.



TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
VELLAVEDU POLICE STATION,  
THIRUVALLUR.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S S.SANTOSH Advocate on payment of necessary charges  
SR.NO.12565

CRL OP.21021/2021

Date :09/11/2021

INBA-16/11/2021