



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the First day of November Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.20526 of 2021

1 MANIKANDAN
2 ARAVINTH

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY ITS
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NANNILAM POLICE STATION,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.1093/2021)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.T.MUTHUKRISHNAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences Under Sections 294(b), 324 & 506(ii) of I.P.C, in Crime No.1093 of 2021 seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are neighbors. There was a civil dispute between them, due to which they attacked each other. Based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, the respondent police registered a case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners did not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that that the injured treated as Out-patient. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.Considering the facts and Circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the *Learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Nannilam* on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-
01/11/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NANNILAM.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
THIRUVARUR [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NANNILAM POLICE STATION,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S.T.MUTHUKRISHNAN Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.12268

CRL OP.20526/2021

Date :01/11/2021

CSK 18/11/2021