



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Seventh day of October Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.20035 of 2021

1 P.KARTHIKEYAN
2 P.SENTHILKUMAR
3 R.TAMIL VAANAN

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NANNILAM POLICE STATION,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT
(CRIME NO.284/2017)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.T.MUTHUKRISHNAN Advocate

For Respondent : M/S. A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sec.294(b), 324 & 506(ii) of I.P.C, in Crime No.284 of 2017, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are residing in the same village. Due to previous enmity there arose a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, thereby attacked each other. Hence the complaint was registered by the Law Enforcing Agency.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submit that the petitioners did not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that the injured discharged from the hospital. He further submits that the case was registered in the year 2017. However, he opposed grant of



anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering that injured treated as Out-patient, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Nannilam on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix his photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

27/10/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.



TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUVARUR [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NANNILAM POLICE STATION,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S.T.MUTHUKRISHNAN Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.No.11884

CRL OP.20035/2021

Date :27/10/2021

APN 12/11/2021