



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Fifth day of October Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.19459 of 2021

P.RAJENDRAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RURAL POLICE STATION,
ATTUR, SALEM DISTRICT
CRIME NO.392/2021

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.T.VELU Advocate

For Respondent : MR. A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under section 147, 294(b), 353, 506(2), 379 IPC and section 4 of the TN Prohibition Of Harassment of Women Act, 2002, in Crime No.392 of 2021 seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a property dispute between the petitioner's family and the defacto complainant, due to which the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language. Based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, the respondent police registered a case against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner did not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case He further submits that civil suit is pending. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submit that the investigation is pending. Hence, he opposed grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5.Considering the fact and circumstances of the case no serious allegation was made as against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate -1, Attur, Salem District on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

-sd/-

25/10/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.I, ATTUR, SALEM DISTRICT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
SALEM. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RURAL POLICE STATION,
ATTUR, SALEM DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S.T.VELU Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.NO.11699

CRL OP.19459/2021

Date :25/10/2021

RW 02/11/2021