



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twelfth day of October Two Thousand Twenty One

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.19378 of 2021

V.RAMESH

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
K-3, AMINJIKARAI POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI.
CR.NO.94 OF 2021.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S. D.PRABU Advocate

For Respondent : MR. L.BASKARAN, Govt. Advocate (CrI. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 4(1)(a), 4(1)(i), 4(1-A) and 24 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act in Crime No.94 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that based on the confession of A1, who found in possession of 23 bottles of Copper Brandy (180 ml) and 18 bottles of Mens Club brandy, the petitioner/A2 was implicated in the present case. The petitioner is the owner of the bar attached to a TASMC shop. The respondent-police had seized the bottles and also cash. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, the learned counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (CrI. Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that there is no previous case pending as against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and there is no previous case pending as against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Metropolitan Magistrate-V, Egmore, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-
12/10/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.



TO

1 THE METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE -V
EGMORE.

2 THE CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE,
EGMORE, CHENNAI (FOR INFORMATION).

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
K-3, AMINJIKARAI POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S. D.PRABU Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.NO. 11427

CRL OP.19378/2021

Date :12/10/2021

INBA-18/10/2021