



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20.10.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

Crl.O.P.No.19347 of 2021

Kalyanavarma

... Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Attur Police Station,
Salem District.
(Crime No.368 of 2021)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail pending investigation in Crime No.368 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Ganesan

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)


ORDER

The petitioner who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.09.2021 for the offences punishable under Section 387 of IPC and Section 3(1) of TN Public Property (Prevention of Damages & Loss) Act, in Crime No.368 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused persons came to the defacto complainant's bakery and damaged a tube-light and at knife point snatched a sum of Rs.500/- from him and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is not involved in the offence and he has been falsely implicated. He further submits that he is in jail from 26.09.2021. Hence he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the stolen property has been recovered. He further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.



5. Considering the fact that the stolen property has been recovered and there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and also considering the period of incarceration suffered by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Attur, and on further condition that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-
20/10/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.I, ATTUR.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
SALEM. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ATTUR POLICE STATION,
SALEM DISTRICT.

5 THE OFFICER INCHARGE
DISTRICT JAIL, ATTUR.

CC to M/S.GANESAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.19347/2021

Date :20/10/2021

TA-21/10/2021