



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twenty Second day of October Two Thousand Twenty One

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.19288 of 2021

J.AROCKIA RAJ

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY

[RESPONDENT]

STATION HOUSE OFFICER,

VIGILANCE AND ANTICORRUPTION POLICE STATION,

PUDUCHERRY

CR.NO.1 OF 2021

For Petitioner : M/S A.AMALRAJ Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.V.Balamurugan Public Prosecutor for Pondicherry

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Section 468 & 471 IPC in Cr.No.1 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that accused/A-1 have brought undue coercion and influence to the Puducherry Slum Clearance Board for out of turn promotion to the post of Revenue Inspector claiming that he had passed B.Com and Diploma in Computer Application (DCA). Thereafter, all the certificates along with mark sheets alleged to have been forged certificates and the petitioner herein is a teacher in a tutorial centre where the accused/A-1 studied and he is alleged to have prepared the fake education qualification certificates for accused/A-1 to secure the above said job. Hence, the law enforcing agency registered a case against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that there was relationship between the petitioner and accused/A1 in 1994 and thereafter, he had no contact with A-1. Hence prays for grant of anticipatory bail.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that as on date the petitioner is not named as accused, however investigation is in initial stage, and allegation against the accused pertains to preparing fake certificates which is serious in nature. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the allegation levelled against the petitioner, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petition seeking anticipatory bail is dismissed.

-sd/-

22/10/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 STATION HOUSE OFFICER,
VIGILANCE AND ANTICORRUPTION POLICE STATION,
PUDUCHERRY

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
PUDUCHERRY

CC to A.AMALRAJ Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.19288/2021

Date :22/10/2021

RVR 19/11/2021