



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twentieth day of October Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.19243 of 2021

P.ANBUVEL

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
EAST COIMBATORE,
COIMBATORE DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.28 OF 2021.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.G.JEREMIAH Advocate

For Respondent : MR.C.E.PRATAP, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 324, 376, 511 and 506(ii) of IPC in Cr.No.28 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the de-facto complainant, the petitioner attacked the de-facto complainant and in the said attack the de-facto complainant had sustained injuries. Hence, the Law Enforcing Agency registered a case against the petitioner based on the complaint lodged by the de-facto complainant.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the de-facto complainant had only sustained simple injuries. Hence, the learned counsel prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl side) submits that injured person has been treated as out-patient and has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and had sustained only simple injuries, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Additional Mahila Court, Coimbatore, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

-sd/-
20/10/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE ADDITIONAL MAHILA COURT,
COIMBATORE.

2 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
EAST COIMBATORE, COIMBATORE DISTRICT.

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S.G.JEREMIAH Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.11476

CRL OP.19243/2021

Date :20/10/2021

JPA 28/10/2021