



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the First day of November Two Thousand Twenty One

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.18959 of 2021

M.ARASAKALAI

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
UDUMALPET POLICE STATION,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.1283/2021)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S A.SATHISHKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR. C.E.PRATAP, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

For Intervenor : MR.PURUSHOTHAMAN, Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable U/s 294(b), 323, 341, 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.1283 of 2021 seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant with regard to the Grama Sabha meeting. Therefore the petitioner along with others attacked the defacto complainant. Based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, the respondent police registered a case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner did not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that History sheet of the petitioner was closed in the year 2014 itself. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that there is one previous case under section 506(2) is pending as against the petitioner. Hence, he opposed grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Considering the facts and Circumstances of the case and also considering that the history sheet is closed, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioner are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate No. I, Udumalpet on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

01/11/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
UDUMALPET.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT (FOR INFORMATION).

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
UDUMALPET POLICE STATION,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S A.SATHISHKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.12277

CRL OP.18959/2021

Date :01/11/2021

INBA-18/11/2021