



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Sixth day of October Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.18731 of 2021

KANIMOZHI

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMAN POLICE STATION,
KATPADI,
VELLORE DISTRICT.
CR.NO. 16 OF 2020.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S. T.SARAVANAN Advocate

For Respondent : M/S. C.E.PRATAP, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 498(A), 323, 494, 506(i) of IPC r/w 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act 1961 in Cr.No.16 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that as per the defacto complainant married one Aravindhan in the year 2010 and there was no child to them and while the first marriage was in subsistence the said Aravindhan performed the second marriage with the petitioner herein. Hence, the law enforcing agency registered a case against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl side) submits that the petitioner married one Aravindhnan who is the husband of the defacto complainant. It is alleged that when the first marriage was in subsistence the petitioner married the said Aravindhnan. Hence, he opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. A perusal of the materials reveal that there is no serious allegation against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Katpadi on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

06/10/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KATPADI

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VELLORE [FOR INFORMATION]

3 STATE REP BY
INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMAN POLICE
STATION, KATPADI, VELLORE DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1 CC to M/S. T.SARAVANAN Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.No.11074

CRL OP.18731/2021

Date :06/10/2021

APN 20/10/2021