



4.The learned Government Advocate submits that this is the second anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner and this Court has already dismissed the earlier anticipatory bail application of the petitioner. The petitioner continuously harassed the de-facto complainant and demanded dowry and huge amount of money. He further submitted that the petitioner threatened the de-facto complainant saying that he will let out the pictures and videos of the de-facto complainant in Facebook. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the gravity of offence and the earlier anticipatory bail petition having withdrawn by the petitioner and there is no change of circumstances pointed out by the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

20/10/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THEINSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
TIRUVALLUR, TIRUVALLUR DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.M.RAJINIKANTH Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.19230/2021

Date :20/10/2021

APN 28/10/2021