

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.01.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

CrI.O.P.No.19823 of 2020

N.Manikandran

.... Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by
Inspector of Police,
Kariamaganalam Police Station,
Dharmapuri 635 111.
(Crime No.1577/2020)

.... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C to enlarge the petitioner /accused on anticipatory bail from apprehending arrested in crime No.1577/2020 on the file of the respondent police with stringent condition.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Chinnasamy

For Respondent : Mr.S. Karthikeyan
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Section 392 of IPC, in Crime No.1577 of 2020, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant is that when he was proceeding in a lorry, the petitioner along other accused overtook the lorry and parked his car in front of the lorry, due to which the defacto complainant got down from his lorry. At that time the petitioner along with other accused had drove away the lorry along with parcel goods. The total value of the lorry is Rs.7,00,000/- and the goods value to the tune of Rs.3,22,869/-. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is in noway connected with the alleged offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit

that the petitioner along with other accused robbed defacto complainant's lorry along with parcel goods. The total value of the lorry is Rs.7,00,000/- and the goods value to the tune of Rs.3,22,869/-. He would further submit that the petitioner is a habitual offender . Hence, he prays for dismissal of this petition.

5. Considering the fact that the petitioner has involved in serious offences and the petitioner is a habitual offender, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

-sd/-
25/01/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PALACODE, DHARMAPURI DISTRICT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DHARMAPURI [FOR INFORMATION].

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KARIAMANGALAM POLICE STATION,
DHARMAPURI-635111.

CC to S.CHINNASAMY Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.19823/2020

Date :25/01/2021

MN-05/02/2021