

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Sixteenth day of April Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.19431 of 2020

MUNIRAJU

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP. BY

[RESPONDENT]

INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.01 OF 2020

For Petitioner : M/S R.BHARATH KUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR. S.KARTHIKEYAN, ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 420, 419, 447, 467, 468, 471, 294(b) and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.1 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the owner of the property. However, the very same land was sold by executing a sale deed through a false power of attorney. When the defacto complainant entered into their property, the petitioner and other accused persons prevented her from entering into her property. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and seeks for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that there is no specific allegation as against the petitioner and the investigation is still pending.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the allegations levelled against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Denkanikottai, within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-
16/04/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DENKANIKOTTAI.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
KRISHNAGIRI [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT.

+1CC to M/S R.BHARATH KUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR NO.4949

CRL OP.19431/2020

Date :16/04/2021

MK:27/04/2021



WEB COPY