



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Seventh day of October Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.18229 of 2021

RAJA @ MARIMUTHU

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
THRIUVARUR,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.
CR.NO.11 OF 2021.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.W.CAMYLES GANDHI Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence punishable under Section 6 r/w.5(1) of POCSO Act and Section 9, 10 of Prohibition of Child Marriage Act 2006 in Crime No.11 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the minor victim girl and she was under the care of her grandmother. The petitioner who is the father of the victim girl arranged marriage of his minor daughter to his relative A1. After the marriage, A1 had sexually assaulted her. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that the statement under Section 164 has been recorded from the victim girl.

5.Considering the submissions made by both counsel and on perusal of the 164 Statement reveals that there is no serious allegation made against the petitioner/father, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fast Track Mahila Court, Thiruvavarur** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation ;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-
27/10/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
FAST TRACK MAHILA COURT, THIRUVARUR.



2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
THIRUVARUR,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S.W.CAMYLES GANDHI Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.12118

CRL OP.18229/2021

Date :27/10/2021

TA-18/11/2021