



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Eighth day of September Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.17971 of 2021

1 K.MADHAVAN
2 R.VIJAY

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
AMMAPET POLICE STATION,
AMMAPET, SALEM CITY,
SALEM.
(CR.NO.442 OF 2021)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S. C.PRAKASAM Advocate

For Respondent : MR.C.E.PRATAP, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Section 6 (4) of TNSC[RDCS] Order 1982 r/w. 7(1)(a)(ii) of EC Act, in Crime No.442 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the respondent police was on regular checkup, they found that the petitioner was in possession of 2360 kgs of PDS rice in 57 gunny bags using lorry bearing registration number TN27L1870 and by using two wheeler bearing registration number TN54P669. Hence, the case was registered against the petitioner on the complaint made by the defacto complainant.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner did not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. However, on instructions he further submits that without prejudice to his defence and contentions, the petitioner on his own volition is willing to contribute a sum of Rs.10,000/-for the purpose of improving and maintaining the Government Schools. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) opposed the grant of anticipatory bail by stating that the petitioner was in possession of 2360 kgs of PDS rice in 57 gunny bags using lorry bearing registration number TN27L1870 and by using two wheeler bearing registration number TN54P669. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner and also the fact that the petitioner has willfully and on his own volition agreed to pay contribute a sum of Rs.10,000/- for charitable purpose, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned *Judicial Magistrate No.V, Salem* on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners shall make a non-refundable deposit of Rs.10,000/- to the credit of " The Chief Educational Officer, Salem District, for the rehabilitation and improvement of the basic needs of the Government Schools in the said District under necessary acknowledgment without prejudice to his defence before the trial court and submit proof of payment of the said amount before the aforesaid learned Judicial Magistrate, who after perusing the receipt/acknowledgment shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner

(b) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required.

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*; and;



(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

6. The Chief Educational Officer shall submit the necessary proof with regard to the expenditure incurred and works that were done for the improvement/rehabilitation of the schools in the District before the Director of School Education as and when such works are completed by utilising the money as ordered by this Court.

-sd/-
28/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE-V,
SALEM DISTRICT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
SALEM [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
AMMAPET POLICE STATION,
AMMAPET, SALEM CITY, SALEM.

4 THE CHIEF EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
SALEM DISTRICT.

5 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S. C.PRAKASAM Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.10782

CRL OP.17971/2021

Date :28/09/2021

CSK 08/10/2021