



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Eighth day of September Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.18024 of 2021

SARATHKUMAR

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP.BY ITS
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PODHAATTURPET POLICE STATION,
THIRUVALLUR.
(CR.NO.505/2021)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S. R.VIVEKANANTHAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.C.E.PRATAP, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence punishable U/S 294(b), 323, 506(i) of IPC r/w 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.505 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner while driving a vehicle, dashed the defacto complainant. Due to which, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner's family and the defacto complainant's family. It was further alleged that the petitioner pulled the defacto complainant's daughter hand and misbehaved with her. Hence, the law enforcing agency registered a case against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner did not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he further submits that it was purely a family dispute between them. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that there is one previous POCSO case pending as against the petitioner. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the considering that there is one POCSO case pending as against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

6.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

28/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PODHAATTURPET POLICE STATION,
THIRUVALLUR.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S. R.VIVEKANANTHAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.18024/2021

Date :28/09/2021

CSK 08/10/2021