



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.10.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

W.P.No.22494 of 2021

V.Sekar

... Petitioner

Versus

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Thiruvannamalai.

3.Ravi

4.Ramesh

5.Rajesh

6.Rajeshwari

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the second respondent to take appropriate action as against the respondent Nos.3 to 6 based on the petitioner's complaint dated 01.08.2020, made to the first respondent, forwarded to the second respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Prabakar

For R1 & R2 : Mr.R.Vinoth Raja
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

O R D E R

This Writ Petition is filed to direct the second respondent to take appropriate action as against the respondent Nos.3 to 6 based on the petitioner's complaint dated 01.08.2020, made to the first respondent, forwarded to the second respondent.

2.The contention of the petitioner is that the petitioner had sent a representation on 01.08.2020, to take appropriate action as against the respondent Nos.3 to 6, but no action has been taken by the respondent police. Hence, the above petition is filed.



3.The learned Government Advocate [CrI. Side] submits that the petitioner had earlier sent a representation, followed by another representation in the year 2020. Current papers have been received by the Inspector of Police, District Crime Branch, Thiruvannamalai, from the office of the Superintendent of Police, Thiruvannamalai vide C.Nos.43 of 2018 and 92 of 2020. After enquiry, the petition has been closed vide closure report dated 26.02.2021, finding that no case is made out.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not aware of the above said enquiry and the respondent police has not served any closure report to the petitioner.

5.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) appearing on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

6.Considering the rival submissions and on perusal of the materials, this Court directs the respondent police to serve the closure report to the petitioner, with proper acknowledgment. Thereafter, it is left open to the petitioner to take proper steps, to agitate the same before the appropriate forum.

7.With the above direction, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

ah

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Thiruvannamalai.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

W.P.No.22494 of 2021