



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Eighth day of September Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION Nos.17709 & 17710 of 2021

VIJAYAPRABAKARAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED
IN CRL.O.P.Nos.17709/2021]

RAMPRABU

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED
IN CRL.O.P.Nos.17710/2021]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CUDDALORE POLICE STATION,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT
(CRIME NO.17/2021)

[RESPONDENT IN BOTH THE PETITIONS]

For Petitioner : M/S.ILAYARANI PONRAJ Advocate

For Respondent : M/S. C.E.PRATAP, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 406, 420 and 506(1) of I.P.C. in Cr.No.17 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners along with the other accused are alleged to have received a sum of Rs.7,06,738/- from the defacto complainant assuring that they will secure a job in Canada and thereafter did not secure the job and when the defacto complainant demanded the amount, the accused only returned a sum of Rs.2,06,738/-.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The learned counsel further submitted that visa was



taken in favour of the defacto complainant, however, the defacto complainant did not utilize the opportunity and further submitted that the amount received is only Rs.2,06,738/- and the said amount was returned to the defacto complainant.

WEB COPY

4.The learned Government Advocate submitted that the accused are alleged to have received a sum of Rs.7,06,738/- and returned only a sum of Rs.2,06,738/-.

5.Considering the fact that in the recent past these types of offences have increased and the gravity of the offence committed by the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6.These criminal original petitions are accordingly dismissed.

-sd/-

28/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CUDDALORE POLICE STATION,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.ILAYARANI PONRAJ Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.Nos.17709 & 17710/2021

Date :28/09/2021

APN 06/10/2021