



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Third day of September Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.17623 of 2021

MANIKANDA KUMAR

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CENTRAL CRIME BRANCH, (LAND GRABBING UNIT),
CCB -II, VEPERY,
CHENNAI-600 007
CRIME NO.72 OF 2021

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.R.N.GANESH Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 r/w 109 IPC in Cr.No.72 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant's mother executed a release deed in favour of defacto complainant and his sister. Thereafter, A-2 impersonated as if she is the mother of the defacto complainant and executed sale deed in favour of A-1, following which, A-4, A-5 and A-6 introduced the impersonator A-2 as if she is the mother of the petitioner/A-4 and opened a bank account in the Axis Bank and also placed documents before the private finance and obtained Rs.55,00,000/- in which the petitioner shown as a nominee for the above transaction. Thereby, the law enforcing agency registered a case against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the entire transaction was



done between the other accused persons and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the property of the defacto complainant was routed by the petitioner. He further submits that the investigation is in preliminary stage. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the property of the defacto complainant was said to have grabbed by the petitioner and investigation is under way, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this petition seeking anticipatory bail is dismissed.

-sd/-
23/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CENTRAL CRIME BRANCH, (LAND GRABBING UNIT),
CCB -II, VEPERY, CHENNAI-600 007

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.R.N.GANESH Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.17623/2021

Date :23/09/2021

JPA 05/10/2021