



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Sixth day of October Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.18700 of 2021

R.DHILIPAN RAJ

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
THIRUVANNAMALAI,
THIRUVANNAMALAI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.10/2021)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S. J.PRAKASAM Advocate

For Respondent : MR.C.E.PRATAP, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 498(A), 294(b), 312, 406, 357, 509 and 506(i) of I.P.C and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act in Crime No.10 of 2021 seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the marriage between the defacto complainant and the first petitioner was solemnized on 27.04.2020 and they have no issues. The allegation is that the petitioner subjected the defacto complainant to cruelty and harassed her for unlawful demands. Hence, the defacto complainant has lodged a complaint against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that there was some family dispute in the petitioner's family. That apart the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that the petitioner tortured the defacto complainant and demanded dowry from her and compelled her to abort the foetus. Due to which the defacto complainant left the matrimonial house and filed a petition in DV



No.4 of 2021 under Domestic Violence Act and also filed a petition for restitution of conjugal rights in FCOP No.484 of 2020 before the Family Court, Thiruvannamalai. Hence, he vehemently opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the main overt act is against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly this Criminal Original petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
06/10/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
THIRUVANNAMALAI,
THIRUVANNAMALAI DISTRICT .

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S. J.PRAKASAM Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.18700/2021

Date :06/10/2021

CSK 20/10/2021