



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Seventh day of September Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.17450 of 2021

RAMESH R

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHENNIMALAI POLICE STATION,
ERODE DISTRICT.
CRIME NO. NOT KNOWN OF 2021

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.C.S.SARAVANAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR. A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.327 of 2021 seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are working in the same company and there was a wordy quarrel between them, due to which the petitioner abused and attacked the defacto complainant and caused serious injuries to him. Hence the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant and caused serious injuries to him. He further submits that the injured discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the injured discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Perundurai on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent daily at 10:30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

-sd/-

27/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PERUNDURAI.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
ERODE DISTRICT. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHENNIMALAI POLICE STATION,
ERODE DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S.C.S.SARAVANAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.10706

CRL OP.17450/2021

Date :27/09/2021

RW 05/10/2021