

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 05.01.2021

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. BHARATHIDASAN

Crl.O.P.No.18571 of 2020

Selva

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Rep. by  
The Inspector of Police  
J-3, Guindy Police Station,  
Chennai.  
(Crime No.943 of 2020)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 438 of Criminal Procedure Code to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.943 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.A.Muthalakan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Karthikeyan  
Addl. Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 506(i) of IPC r/w 4 of TNPWH Act, in Crime No.943 of 2020 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, pursuant to which, the petitioner abused with filthy language and also assaulted the defacto complainant and thereby the defacto complainant sustained injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and no way connected with this crime and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner had assaulted the defacto complainant, due to which, the defacto complainant sustained injuries, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned IX Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which this petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix his photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

05/01/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE NO.IX,  
SAIDAPET, CHENNAI.

2 THE CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE,  
EGMORE, CHENNAI [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.


4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
J-3 GUINDY POLICE STATION,  
CHENNAI.

+1CC to M/S.M.A.MUTHALAKAN Advocate on payment of necessary  
charges SR NO.78

CRL OP.18571/2020

Date :05/01/2021

MK:07/01/2021



WEB COPY