



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Seventeenth day of September Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.17129 of 2021

1 MALARKODI

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

2 MAYAVEL

3 SATHYA @ SIVASAKTHI

Vs

STATE REP BY

[RESPONDENT]

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,

KUNNAM POLICE STATION,

PERAMBALUR DISTRICT.

(CRIME NO.695/2021)

For Petitioner : M/S.S.ELAKKIYA Advocate

For Respondent : MR. A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 355 and 506(ii) IPC r/w Sec 4 of TNPHW Act, 2002 in Cr.No.695 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was boundary dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, in which the petitioners used filthy language and attacked the defacto complainant, due to which, the defacto complainant sustained injuries. Hence, the law enforcing agency registered a case against the petitioners.



3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submit that the petitioners have not been committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the defacto complainant had sustained only simple injuries. Hence, the learned counsel prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl side) submits that injured person has been discharged from the hospital.

5. Considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Additional Mahila Court, Perambalur, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner/A-2 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation and the petitioners/A-1 and A-4 shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

17/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE ADDITIONAL MAHILA COURT,
PERAMBALUR.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KUNNAM POLICE STATION,
PERAMBALUR DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S.S.ELAKKIYA Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.10250

CRL OP.17129/2021

Date :17/09/2021

RW 28/09/2021