



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED:17.09.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

W.P.NO.19790 OF 2021

D.Dhanasekaran

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1 The Chief Executive Engineer  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board No. 114 Anna  
Salai Mount Road Chennai - 600 002.
- 2 The Superintending Engineer  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board  
Olimugamathupettai Kanchipuram Kanchipuram  
Taluk and District.
- 3 The Executive Engineer  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Periyakuppam  
Thiruvallur Taluk Thiruvallur District.
- 4 The Junior Engineer  
Pandikavavoor Kannigaiper Uthukottai Taluk  
Thiruvallur.

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the 1st respondent to consider the petitioners representation dated 19.07.2021.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Thirumalai

For Respondent : Mr.L.Jai Venkatesh  
Standing Counsel

O R D E R

This writ petition has been filed for the issue of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the representation made by the petitioner on 19.07.2021 and to take a decision within the time frame fixed by this Court.



2. Heard Mr.M.Thirumalai, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.L.Jai Venkatesh, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents.

3. It is seen from records that the petitioner had sought for agricultural service connection and had made an application in the year 1998 before the fourth respondent. It is also seen from records that the fourth respondent had sent a communication in the year 2010 asking for the readiness of the petitioner, which was not acted upon and ultimately the petitioner reacted only in the year 2020. It is further seen from the records that the representation made by the petitioner was rejected by the third respondent through proceedings dated 23.07.2021 by assigning reasons as to why the electricity service connection cannot be given in favour of the petitioner. This letter has not been put to challenge by the petitioner and the petitioner seems to have made representation before the respondents to consider his request.

4. Once the application made by the petitioner had lapsed and the representation made by the petitioner had been rejected, there is no question of making representation to the respondents and this Court cannot mechanically issue directions to consider the representation unless there is some substance in the representation given by the petitioner.

5. In view of the above discussion, this Court does not find any merits in this writ petition. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-  
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

kst

To

- 1 The Chief Executive Engineer,  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board No. 114  
Anna Salai Mount Road Chennai - 600 002.
- 2 The Superintending Engineer, Tamil Nadu Electricity Board  
Olimugamathupettai, Kanchipuram,  
Kanchipuram Taluk and District.



3 The Executive Engineer,  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Periyakuppam  
Thiruvallur Taluk Thiruvallur District.

4 The Junior Engineer, Pandikavavoor  
Kannigaiper Uthukottai Taluk  
Thiruvallur.

+lcc to Mr.M.Thirumalai, Advocate, S.R.No.47403  
+lcc to Mr.L.Jai Venkatesh, Advocate, S.R.No.47820

W.P.No.19790 of 2021

PPA (CO)  
PM/01/10/2021