





3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is no way connected with the alleged offences and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner and the deceased are lovers. He further submits that the petitioner has been in jail from 07.08.2021. Hence he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the petitioner had instigated the victim girl to commit suicide, thereby she had consumed pesticide, later she died. He further submits that there is serious allegation made against the petitioner. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court is of the view that on perusal of the 164 statement and dying declaration of the victim girl that the petitioner is solely responsible for her suicide and there is serious allegation made against the petitioner and considering the gravity of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

15/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
PUDUPETTAI,  
CUDDALORE DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE SUPERINTENDENT,  
CENTRAL PRISON, CUDDALORE

CC to M/S. M.VIJAYA RAGAVAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.16496/2021

Date :15/09/2021

APN 04/10/2021