



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.09.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

Cr1.O.P.No.16455 of 2021

Sivanantham

... Petitioner

Vs.

State by:-

... Respondent

The Inspector of Police,
Valangaiman Police Station,
Tiruvarur District.
(Crime No.884 of 2021)

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C, prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.884 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Palanivel


For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Cr1.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested on 16.08.2021 and remanded to judicial custody for the offences under Sections 294(b), 302 of IPC, in Crime No.884 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is the son-in-law of the deceased, there was a matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and the daughter of the deceased, as a result of which, the petitioner had attacked the deceased, due to which, the deceased died on the spot. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is no way connected with the alleged offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is the son-in-law of the deceased and the defacto complainant is the mother-in-law of the petitioner. He further submitted that there was family dispute between them, some altercation, the deceased lost his life. Therefore, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the period of incarceration suffered by the petitioner and there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsiff cum Judicial Magistrate, Valangaiman, and on further condition that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

30/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.



1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, VALANGAIMAN

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUVARUR [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VALANGAIMAN POLICE STATION,
TIRUVARUR DISTRICT

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

5 THE OFFICER INCHARGE
DISTRICT PRISON, NAGAPATTINAM

+1 CC to M/S.N.PALANIVEL Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.No.10792

CRL OP.16455/2021

Date :30/09/2021

APN 01/10/2021