



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twentieth day of September Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.16523 of 2021

PANNERSELVAM

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.
CR.NO.17 OF 2018.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.P.MUTHAMIZH SELVAKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : M/S. C.E.PRATAP, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 120(b), 406, 408, 420 of I.P.C in Cr.No.17 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner received money from the De-facto Complainant and many other persons and made false promises to secure a permanent Government Job. However, he neither secured the job nor returned the money back to the Victims. When the De-facto Complainant demanded the return of money from the petitioner, the petitioner threatened him with dire consequences. Hence this complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to pay a sum of Rs.6,75,000/- to the Defacto Complainant hence prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

[illegible]

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail and he is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Thiruvavarur, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each, with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(b) the petitioner shall make a demand draft for a sum of Rs.6,75,000/- (Rupees Six Lakh Seventy Five Thousand) in favour of the De-facto Complainant without prejudice to his defence before the trial Court and the concerned Magistrate, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner on such deposit being made and proof filed by the petitioner;

(d) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

(f) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(h) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

20/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUVARUR

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
THIRUVARUR [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.P.MUTHAMIZH SELVAKUMAR Advocate on payment of
necessary charges

CRL OP.16523/2021

Date :20/09/2021

APN 28/09/2021