



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Fifteenth day of September Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.16643 of 2021

CHANDIRAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
UDUMALPET POLICE STATION,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.1006 OF 2021)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S. B.NAMBISELVAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(B) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, in Crime No.1006 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that A2 was found in illegal possession of 1 Kg and 150 Grams of Ganja. Based on the confession of A2, the petitioner has been implicated in this case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that A2 was found in illegal possession of 1 Kg and 150 Grams of Ganja. Based on the confession of A2, the petitioner was implicated in this case. He further submits that there are ten previous cases pending as against the petitioner. He further submits that this Court vide Order dated 08.07.2021 in Crl.OP.No.11687 of 2021 dismissed the petitioner's earlier petition and there is no change of circumstances after the



dismissal of the petitioner's earlier petition. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. In view of the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and also considering the fact that there are ten previous previous cases against the petitioner and there is no change of circumstances after the dismissal of the petitioner's earlier petition, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
15/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
UDUMALPET POLICE STATION,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S. B.NAMBISELVAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.10047

CRL OP.16643/2021

Date :15/09/2021

CSK 04/10/2021