



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Sixth day of September Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.16038 of 2021

AHAMED ALI

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
C.S.C.I.D. POLICE STATION,
KRISHNAGIRI,
KRISHNAGIR DISTRICT.
CR.NO.122/2019.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.M.JAYACHANDRAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR. A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 6(4) of TNSC (RDCS) Order 1982 r/w 7(i)(a)(ii) Essential Commodities Act 1955 in Cr.No.122 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused persons were involved in illegal transportation of 17,500 Kgs of PDS (Public Distribution System) rice of worth Rs.4,91,750/-. Based on the confession given by the co-accused, the respondent police has registered a case against the petitioner and other accused person.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. However, on instructions, on his own volition, is ready and willing to contribute a sum of Rs.50,000/- for the purpose of improving and maintaining the Government Schools. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner along with other accused was involved in transportation of 17,500 Kgs of PDS rice without getting License or permission from the Government authority. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by either side, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Krishnagiri on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall make a non-refundable deposit of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) to the credit of the Chief Educational Officer, Krishnagiri District for the rehabilitation and improvement of the basic needs of the Government Schools in the said District under necessary acknowledgement, without prejudice to his defence before the trial Court and submit the proof of payment of the said amount before the aforesaid learned Judicial Magistrate, who after perusing the challan/ receipt/acknowledgement, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner;

(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned



Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

7. Chief Educational Officer shall submit the necessary proof with regard to the expenditure incurred for the improvement/rehabilitation of the Schools in the District before the Director of School Education as and when such works are completed by utilising the money as ordered by this Court

-sd/-
06/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
KRISHNAGIRI.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT (FOR INFORMATION).

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
C.S.C.I.D. POLICE STATION,
KRISHNAGIRI,
KRISHNAGIR DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

5 THE CHIEF EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S.M.JAYACHANDRAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.9620

CRL OP.16038/2021

Date :06/09/2021

INBA 21/09/2021