



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Sixth day of September Two Thousand Twenty One

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.15894 of 2021

1 VIJAY

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

2 RAMU @ RAMACHANDRAN @ KATTAYAN

3 MURUGANANDHAM

Vs

STATE REP.BY

[RESPONDENT]

INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
OOMANGALAM POLICE STATION,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT.
CR.NO.337 OF 2021.

For Petitioner : M/S. S.SASIKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 384, 386 of IPC. in Crime No.337 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant. Due to which both sides attacked each other and the defacto complainant sustained injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, they pray for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that the the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant due to which he sustained injuries. He would further submit that the injured discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



5. Considering the fact that the injured persons have been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Neyveli, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

06/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.



TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NEYVELI

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CUDDALORE (FOR INFORMATION)

3 INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
OOMANGALAM POLICE STATION,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S. S.SASIKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges
Sr.9421

CRL OP.15894/2021

Date :06/09/2021

RVR 08/09/2021