



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Second day of September Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.15857 of 2021

1 ANANDH [PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]
2 VENU @ RENU
3 SEKAR

Vs

STATE REP BY [RESPONDENT]
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
E-1, MYLAPORE POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI.
(CRIME NO.951/2021)

For Petitioner : M/S M.VINOTH Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of I.P.C and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No.951 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries to her. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the injured discharged from the hospital. Hence, the learned counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that the injured discharged from the hospital and there was no previous cases pending against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Considering the fact that due to wordy quarrel between the parties the occurrence had taken place and the injured discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Metropolitan Magistrate Court-XVIII, Saidapet** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10:30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-
02/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY



1 THE METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE,
NO.XVIII, SAIDAPET, CHENNAI.

2 THE CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE,
EGMORE, CHENNAI. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
E-1, MYLAPORE POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI.

+1 CC to M/S M.VINOTH Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.NO.9473

CRL OP.15857/2021

Date :02/09/2021

TA-13/09/2021