



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Sixth day of September Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.16081 of 2021

S.SIVARAMAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
THIRUVALLUR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.13/2021)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S S.SENTHILNATHAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 498 - A and 307 IPC in Crime No.13 of 2021 on the file of the respondent seeks anticipatory bail.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is the husband of the defacto complainant. There was a wordy quarrel and matrimonial dispute between between the first petitioner and the defacto complainant, due to which, the the petitioner with his mother harassed the defacto complainant and she has been subjected to cruelty and they had attempted to kill her by using pillow. Further, it is alleged that the petitioner forced her to handover the Thali as he was going to get another marriage. Hence, the complainant.

4.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that this is the second anticipatory bail petition. Earlier this court



had dismissed the anticipatory bail petition in Crl.O.P.No. 12638 of 2021 on 22.07.2021 on the ground that there was some allegations made against him. He further submitted that there is no change of circumstances and hence, he aaaaaavehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Taking into consideration the antecedents of the petitioner and this Court had dismissed the earlier application filed by the petitioner and also that there is no change of circumstances, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

06/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
THIRUVALLUR DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S S.SENTHILNATHAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.9714

CRL OP.16081/2021

Date :06/09/2021

CSK 21/09/2021