



3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the defacto complainant had obtained the certificate for practising Electro Homoeopathy in the year 2013. However, on instructions, he further submits that the petitioner, without prejudice to his rights, on his own volition is ready to deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- to the Medical officer, Kancheepuram for Arignar Anna Cancer Institute, Kancheepuram for the purpose of treating cancer patients. Accordingly, the learned counsel prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl side) submits that the petitioner along with other accused person misused the name of Dr.Balaji Manikkam, MBBS and treated many innocent persons which is grave in nature. Hence he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the fact and circumstances of this case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Arakonam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. For a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

16/09/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ARAKONAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VELLORE DISTRICT. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE.,
NEMILI POLICE STATION,
VELLORE DISTRICT.

5 THE MEDICAL OFFICER,
KANCHEEPURAM FOR ARIGNAR ANNA CANCER INSTITUTE,
KANCHEEPURAM FOR THE PURPOSE OF
TREATING CANCER PATIENTS.

+1 CC to M/S.R.SASIKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.10165

CRL OP.16569/2021

Date :16/09/2021

RW 30/09/2021