



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.12.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.NO.20433 OF 2014

Mr.G.Vijendran

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. Government of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. By, The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 9.
2. Government of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. By, The Secretary to Government,
Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai -9.
3. The Commissioner of Revenue Administration,
(Relief and Rehabilitation)
Chepauck, Chennai -5
4. The Director ,
Department of Fisheries,
Teynampet,
Chennai -18.
5. The Assistant Director,
Department of Fisheries,
Nagapattinam,
Nagapattinam District.

...Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of Mandamus, direct the respondents to consider and pass orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 21.06.2014 for granting further subsidy of Rs.15,00,000/- as Tsunami relief assistance to the petitioner for creation of asset (mechanized boat).



WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.Elango
For Dr.G.Krishnamurthy
For Respondents : Mr.M.Rajendran
Additional Government Pleader

O R D E R

The relief sought for in the present writ petition is to direct the respondents to consider the representation dated 21.06.2014 for grant of further subsidy of Rs.15,00,000/- as Tsunami relief assistance to the petitioner for creation of asset (mechanized boat).

2. The representation submitted by the writ petitioner on 21.06.2014 admits that the petitioner had received the subsidy amount of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakhs) from the Tsunami Relief Fund and the said amount had already been utilized.

3. The petitioner seeks further subsidy. This Court is of the considered opinion that the subsidy can never be claimed as a matter of right. Subsidy is granted under the Scheme, more specifically, on terms and conditions. As per the terms and conditions, the petitioner had already been granted with a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakhs only) towards subsidy and the petitioner had utilized the same.

4. This being the factum, the relief as such sought for in the present writ petition cannot be granted and consequently, the writ petition stands dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS-IX)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

nti/kak

To

1. The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 9.
2. The Secretary to Government,
Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai -9.



3. The Commissioner of Revenue Administration,
(Relief and Rehabilitation)
Chepauck, Chennai -5.

4. The Director ,
Department of Fisheries,
Tenynampet,
Chennai -18.

5. The Assistant Director,
Department of Fisheries,
Nagapattinam,
Nagapattinam District.

+1cc to M/s.V.Jaisankar, Advocate, S.R.No.66423

W.P.No.20433 of 2014

PL (CO)
RLP (28/12/2021)