



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Fifth day of August Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.14962 of 2021

S.TARZAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY

[RESPONDENT]

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
THIRUVALLUR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.15/2021)

For Petitioner : M/S C.S.SARAVANAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (CrI. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

ORDER

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.07.2021 for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 450, 376(2)(n), 506(ii), 292-A of IPC and Section 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000 r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act 2002 in Crime No.15 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that A1 is an Advocate and the defacto complainant approached A1 for divorce case and thereafter A1 developed illegal intimacy with the defacto complainant and took obscene photographs of her and by using such photographs, A1 threatened her and had sexual intercourse with her against her Will. It is further alleged that A1 along with accused threatened her by demanding money . It is further alleged that the petitioner's wife came to the defacto complainant's house and threatened her to co-operate with the petitioner. Hence, the complaint.



3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner had not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits this court vide order dated 16.08.2021 in Crl.OP.No.14159 of 2021 dismissed the petitioner's wife anticipatory bail application. He further submits that the petitioner is the main accused and he has sent the obscene photographs to the defacto complainant and demanded huge money. Hence he vehemently opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the gravity of the offence committed by the petitioner and the submissions made by both counsel, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
25/08/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
THIRUVALLUR DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, PUZHAL.

+1 CC to M/S C.S.SARAVANAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.9199

CRL OP.14962/2021

Date :25/08/2021

TA-08/09/2021