



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Third day of August Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.14995 of 2021

HARI @ HARIRAJ

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SRIPERUMBADUR POLICE STATION,
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.1150 OF 2021

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.T.ARUL Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.C.E.Pratap Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence punishable under Section 448, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.1150 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner/A2 along with A1 were employed as a contract basis in the defacto complainant's company and they were unlawfully entered into the company and assaulted the defacto complainant with iron rod and caused injury and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to these petitioners.



5. Considering the fact that the injured has discharged from the hospital, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to these petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif-Cum-Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumpudur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

23/08/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.



TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SRIPERUMPUDUR

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CHENGALPATTU (FOR INFORMATION)

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SRIPERUMBADUR POLICE STATION,
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.T.ARUL Advocate on payment of necessary charges
Sr.8900

CRL OP.14995/2021

Date :23/08/2021

RVR 07/09/2021