



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.04.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

W.A.No.1580 of 2014

A.J.Mohammed Iqbal

.. Appellant/Petitioner

Versus

1.Bar Council of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. By its Chairman,
High Court Building,
Chennai-104.

2.V.Raghavachari
3.VLakshmi Narayanan

4.The Tamil Nadu Wakf Board,
Rep. By its Chief Executive Officer,
No.1, Jaffersyrang Street,
Vallalseethakathi Nagar,
Chennai - 1.

.. Respondents/Respondents

Prayer: Writ Appeal has been filed under Section 15 of Letter of Patent against the order dated 17.11.2014 passed in W.P.No.29284 of 2014 by the learned Single Judge of this Court.

Prayer in WP.No.29284 of 2014:

Writ petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying for a writ of Mandamus directing the first respondent to dispose of the petition filed before them to initiate proceedings against the second and third respondents under section 35 of the Advocates Act, till such time restrain the second and third respondents from appearing for the fourth respondent in CRP(PD) No.4097 of 2014.

For Appellant

:No Appearance

For R3

: Mr.H.Karthikseshadri

For R1, R2 & R4

: No Appearance



JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by T.RAJA, J.)

Originally, writ petitioner/A.J.Mohammed Iqbal has filed W.P.No.29284 of 2014 seeking a direction to the first respondent/Bar Council of Tamil Nadu, to dispose of his petition dated 03.11.2014 requesting to initiate proceedings against the respondents 2 and 3 under Section 35 of the Advocates Act. Learned Single Judge, by order dated 17.11.2014, dismissed the said writ petition holding that the writ petitioner is not only guilty of abuse of process of Court in the conduct of the proceedings before the Wakf Tribunal but also guilty of attempting to remove an inconvenient counsel from appearing for his opponent. Aggrieved by the same, the writ petitioner has filed the present appeal.

2. No one represented on behalf of the writ petitioner/appellant.

3. Mr.H.Karthik Seshadri, learned counsel for the third respondent, submitted that the present appeal itself has become infructuous as the petition dated 03.11.2014 filed by the writ petitioner before the first respondent/Bar Council of Tamil Nadu, has already been considered and disposed on 24.07.2015, therefore, nothing survives for further adjudication in the present writ appeal.

4. Recording the submission of the third respondent that the petition dated 03.11.2014 of the writ petitioner has already been considered on 24.07.2015, the writ appeal is dismissed as infructuous. No Costs. M.P.No.1 of 2014 is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CO)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

rkm

To

1.The Chairman,
Bar Council of Tamil Nadu,
High Court Building,
Chennai-104.



2.The Chief Executive Officer,
The Tamil Nadu Wakf Board,
No.1, Jaffersyrang Street,
Vallalseethakathi Nagar,
Chennai - 1.

WEB COPY

+1cc to Mr.H.Karthik Seshadri, Advocate, S.R.No.22555

W.A.No.1580 of 2014

PL(CO)
CB(12/08/2021)