



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Thirty First day of August Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.14140 of 2021

1 SELVAKUMAR [PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]
2 ILAYARAJA
3 RAMKI @ VELKUMAR
4 MURUGAN

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY [RESPONDENT]
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ULUNDURPET POLICE STATION,
KALLAKURICHI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.511 OF 2021)

For Petitioner : M/S. KRISHNASAMY CHINNASAMY Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.GOPINATH, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 448, 323, 324, 427, 506(ii) of Indian Penal Code r/w Section 4 of Prohibition of Harassment of Women act, 2002 in Cr.No.511 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners trespassed the defacto complainant's house and used filthy language and also assaulted the defacto complainant and her husband. Hence, the defacto complainant made a complaint against the petitioners.



3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submit that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl side) submits that the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant and her husband and used filthy language. Hence, he opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and the circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the Government Advocate, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned *Judicial Magistrate-I, Ulundurpet*, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*; and;



(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-

31/08/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE-I,
ULUNDURPET.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VILLUPURAM [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ULUNDURPET POLICE STATION,
KALLAKURICHI DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S. KRISHNASAMY CHINNASAMY Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.14140/2021

Date :31/08/2021

CSK 21/09/2021