



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23.12.2021

Coram

The Honourable Mr. Justice P.N.PRAKASH
and
The Honourable Mrs. Justice R.HEMALATHA

H.C.P.No.1206 of 2021

Annadurai

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Secretary to the Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tiruvannamalai District, Tiruvannamalai.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruvannamalai District, Tiruvannamalai.

4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Vellore.

5.The Inspector of Police,
Thanipadi Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.

...Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records in connection with the order of detention passed by the second respondent dated 28.07.2021 in D.O.No.58/2021-C2 the petitioner/detenu Annadurai, male, aged 52 years, S/o.Raji, who is confined at the Central Prison, Vellore and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Senthil Vel

For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj,
Addl. Public Prosecutor



The petitioner is the detenu Annadurai, male, aged 52 years, S/o.Raji. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in D.O.No.58/2021-C2 dated 28.07.2021, holding him to be a "Bootlegger", as contemplated under Section 2(b) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that there is gross violation of procedural safeguards, which would vitiate the detention. The learned counsel, by placing authorities, submitted that the representation made by the petitioner was not considered on time and there was an inordinate and unexplained delay.

5. The Detention Order in question was passed on 28.07.2021. The petitioner made a representation on 19.08.2021. Thereafter, remarks were called for by the Government from the Detaining Authority on 24.08.2021. The remarks were duly received on 27.09.2021. Thereafter, the Government considered the matter and passed the order rejecting the petitioner's representation on 20.10.2021.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



for Home, Prohibition and Excise Department after the Deputy Secretary dealt with it, of which, there was no Government Holiday, hence, there was an inordinate delay of 3 days in considering the representation.

WEB COPY

7. In *Rekha vs. State of Tamil Nadu* (2011 (5) SCC 244), the Honourable Supreme Court has held that the procedural safeguards are required to be zealously watched and enforced by the Courts of law and their rigour cannot be allowed to be diluted on the basis of the nature of the alleged activities undertaken by the detenu.

8. In *Sumaiya vs. The Secretary to Government* (2007 (2) MWN (Cr.) 145), a Division Bench of this Court has held that the unexplained delay of three days in disposal of the representation made on behalf of the detenu would be sufficient to set aside the order of detention.

9. In *Tara Chand vs. State of Rajasthan and others*, reported in 1980 (2) SCC 321, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that any inordinate and unexplained delay on the part of the Government in considering the representation renders the very detention illegal.

10. In the subject case, admittedly, there is an inordinate and unexplained delay of 22 days in submitting the remarks by the Detaining Authority and unexplained delay of 3 days in considering the representation by the Hon'ble Minister for Home, Prohibition and Excise Department. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in D.O.No.58/2021-C2 dated 28.07.2021, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Annadurai, male, aged 52 years, S/o.Raji, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

s/d-
Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

nsd



To

- 1.The Secretary to the Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tiruvannamalai District, Tiruvannamalai.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruvannamalai District, Tiruvannamalai.
- 4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Vellore.
- 5.The Inspector of Police,
Thanipadi Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
- 6.The Joint Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu,
Public, Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 9.
- 7.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.No.1206 of 2021

BR(CO)
SP(23/12/2021)