



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Thirteenth day of August Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.14254 of 2021

RAGUL

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
NAGAPATTINAM.
(CRIME NO.3/2021)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S M.VINOTH Advocate

For Respondent : MR.C.E.PRATAP, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC, in Crime No.3 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.Totally there are nine accused in this case and the petitioners herein arrayed as A7 and A2. The case of the prosecution is that the A2 approached the defacto complainant and asked Rs.45,00,000/- as hand loan. Hence the defacto complainant gave Rs.17,00,000/- and 45 sovereigns of gold and borrowed Rs.18,00,000/- from other persons and gave it to A2. The allegation against this petitioner is that at the time of receiving money from the defacto complainant the petitioner pretended himself as a Income Tax Officer and gave assurance that the money will be re-paid as early as possible. Hence, the complaint was registered against this petitioners.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that it is a case of cheating. He would further submit that the petitioners



along with other accused persons had swindled the money from the defacto complainant and from various other persons to the tune of Rs.45,00,000/- and also borrowed 45 sovereigns of gold from the defacto complainant and failed to pay the same. He further submits that this Court had earlier dismissed the anticipatory bail application for the petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.6015 of 2021 dated 18.06.2021. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. .Considering the gravity of offence committed by the petitioners and there is no change of circumstances and also this Court observes that these type of offence are increasing day by day, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6.Accordingly, these Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

13/08/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
NAGAPATTINAM.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S M.VINOTH Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.14254/2021

Date :13/08/2021

CSK 06/09/2021